ORIGINAL



2

P.O. Box 1388

(928) 226-8333

3

4

6

8

7

9

11 12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

RECEIVED

2007 NOV 19 A 8:53

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

John G. Gliege (#003644) Stephanie J. Gliege (#022465) Attorney for Fred B. Krafczyk

Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388

GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF PINE WATER COMPANY FOR

APPROVAL TO (1) ENCUMBER A PART

OF ITS PLANT AND SYSTEM PURSUANT

TO A.R.S. §40-285(A); AND (2) ISSUE

EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS

PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §40-302(A).

FRED B. KRAFCZYK, intervener in the above captioned matter, hereby submits the Notice of Filing in this referenced matter. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is the Direct Testimony of Fred B. Krafczyk.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of November, 2007.

1

GALIEG

1

obn G. Gliege

Attorney for Fred B. Krafczyk

FFICES, PLLC

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0362

NOTICE OF FILING

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV 19 2007

DOCKETED BY NO

32D

1	Original and thirteen copies of the foregoing Mailed this Mailed t
2	
3	Docket Control Center Arizona Corporation Commission
4	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	Copies of the foregoing Mailed this 19 the day of November 2007 to:
6	Mailed this 197 day of 1000000 2007 to:
7	Fennemore Craig, P.C. Attn: Mr. Jay L. Shapiro
8	3003 North Central Ave. Ste 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
9	Attorneys for Pine Water Company
10	Mr. Dwight D. Nodes Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge
11	Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
13	Mr. Kevin Torrey, Esq.
14	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
16	RENSCH WALKER & HARPER, PC
17	Attn: Michael J. Harper 111 W. Cedar Lane, Ste C
18	Payson, AZ 85541 928-474-0322
19	Attorneys for Cindy Maack
20	
21	
22	

EXHIBIT A

Direct Testimony

Of

Fred B. Krafczyk

5

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

FRED

В.

2 DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0362 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 3 OF PINE WATER COMPANY FOR 4 TESTIMONY **IDIRECT OF** APPROVAL TO (1) ENCUMBER A PART 5 KRAFCZYK OF ITS PLANT AND SYSTEM PURSUANT 6 TO A.R.S. §40-285(A); AND (2) ISSUE 7 EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS 8 **PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §40-302(A).** 9

O. 1. PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER.

A. 1. Mr. Fred B. Krafczyk, 8039 Louthian, Strawberry, AZ 85544. Telephone number is 602-571-6429.

Q. 2. PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS CONTACT INFORMATION INCLUDING ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER.

A. 2. Sovereign Consulting Funding Sources, LLC, Commercial Loans, SBA, Project Funding, PO Box 12707, Tempe, AZ 85264-2707. Telephone number is 480-755-1400.

- Q. 3. HAVE YOU HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL GREER?
- 20 | A. 3. Yes.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

- 21 | Q. 4. DO YOU CONCUR WITH MICHAEL GREER'S DIRECT TESTIMONY?
 - || A. 4. Yes.
 - Q. 5. DO YOU HAVE OBJECTIONS OR CONCERNS REGARDING THE JOINT WELL DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT between PINE WATER COMPANY and PINE-STRAWBERRY WATER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT (K2 Agreement)?
- A. 5. Yes, I do have concerns. There are a number of issues concerning the legality of the agreement itself, which must be resolved. In order to complete a loan transaction it is necessary that the parties have the legal capacity to enter into it, credit worthiness, collateral, and the capacity to repay the debt.

1 Company, I hereby incorporate the Objection to Application to Encumber System and Issue Evidence of 2 Indebtedness filed by Cindy Maack with the Arizona Corporation Commission in the above referenced 3 matter on the 6th day of August, 2007. Clearly this loan of funds to Pine Water Company by Pine-4 Strawberry Water Improvement District (hereafter "PSWID") constitutes a loan of public funds to a 5 public service corporation in violation of the Arizona State Constitution. My second concern about 6 capacity is whether or not the District can bind future boards of directors to this agreement, because 7 substantial portions of the agreement call for the exercise of discriminatory judgment by Pine Water 8 Company and future boards of PSWID may not be willing to be bound by that judgment. There is a 9 recall election scheduled for March 8, 2008, which will have an impact on the composition of the 10 PSWID Board. My third concern regarding capacity is whether or not the establishment of the escrow 11 account in the K2 Agreement constitutes an unlawful delegation of the power and duties of the Board of 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Addressing credit worthiness, I have examined the available financial data on Pine Water Company and cannot in good conscience make a determination that Pine Water Company has the credit worthiness to borrow \$300,000.00 from PSWID.

Directors of PSWID to some other party.

Addressing the concern of collateral, it appears that the parcel of property being offered as collateral is insufficient in value to support a loan in the amount of \$300,000.00. This is because the site has limited legal viable access, its size, and a portion of the property is located in a flood plain. Therefore, the collateral appears to be insufficient.

Addressing the first issue, that of the capacity of the District to lend money to Pine Water

Last, addressing the issue of the capacity of Pine Water Company to repay the debt, absent a substantial rate increase from the Commission to cover the costs of debt repayment, the publicly available records on Pine Water Company make it clear that they do not have the capacity to adequately repay the debt.

O. 6. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS ABOUT THE K2 AGREEMENT?

Yes, I do have additional concerns regarding the K2 Agreement. It is my understanding that the A.6. PSWID acted without complete information concerning the peer reviews of the Hydrological Report of Michael Ploughe.